arXiv:1501.06457v1 [math.OA] 26 Jan 2015

THE SCHUR-HORN PROBLEM FOR NORMAL OPERATORS

MATTHEW KENNEDY AND PAUL SKOUFRANIS

Abstract. We consider the Schur-Horn problem for normal operators in von Neumann algebras, which is the problem of characterizing the possible diagonal values of a given normal operator based on its spectral data. For normal matrices, this problem is well-known to be extremely difficult, and in fact, it remains open for matrices of size greater than 3. We show that the infinite dimensional version of this problem is more tractable, and establish approximate solutions for normal operators in von Neumann factors of type I_∞, II and III. A key result is an approximation theorem that can be seen as an approximate multivariate analogue of Kadison's Carpenter Theorem.

1. Introduction

In this paper we investigate the Schur-Horn problem for normal operators in von Neumann algebras. The general version of the Schur-Horn problem is to characterize the possible diagonal values of a given operator based on its spectral data. Since the appropriate notion of diagonal for an operator in a von Neumann algebra is the conditional expectation of the operator onto a maximal abelian self-adjoint subalgebra (MASA), the Schur-Horn problem can be formulated in the following way.

Problem 1.1 (Schur-Horn)**.** Let T be an operator in a von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a MASA in $\mathfrak{M}$ with corresponding conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$. Determine the elements of the set

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(T) := \{E_{\mathcal{A}}(U^*TU) \mid U \text{ a unitary in } \mathfrak{M}\}. \tag{1}$$

The classical theorem of Schur [24] and Horn [10] completely solves the Schur-Horn problem for self-adjoint matrices. A great deal of effort has gone into finding generalizations of this result for self-adjoint

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L10; Secondary 15A42.

Key words and phrases. Schur-Horn, von Neumann algebra, MASA, eigenvalues, diagonal, conditional expectation, normal operator.

First author partially supported by research grant from NSERC (Canada).

Second author partially supported by research grant from the NSF (USA).

operators in infinite-dimensional von Neumann algebras. We are particularly interested in the body of work inspired by the recent papers of Neumann [20] and Arveson and Kadison [4].

For von Neumann factors of type II_1, the work contained in [1, 2, 6, 9] culminates in Ravichandran's Schur-Horn theorem for self-adjoint operators [23], which settles an open problem from [4]. For the state-of-the-art results for von Neumann factors of type I_∞, we direct the reader to the recent work of Kaftal and Weiss [14, 15], Jasper [11], and Bownik and Jasper [7, 8].

The Schur-Horn problem for normal operators is seemingly substantially more difficult than the corresponding problem for self-adjoint operators. Indeed, for normal matrices the problem is known to be equivalent to a difficult problem about the geometry of orthostochastic matrices (cf. [3]), and little is known about the latter problem for matrices of size greater than 3 (for matrices of size 3, see [5]).

Surprisingly, the Schur-Horn problem for normal operators is more tractable in infinite dimensions. In this paper, we establish approximate Schur-Horn type theorems for normal operators in infinite dimensional von Neumann algebras. Specifically, we establish a characterization of the norm closure $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|}$ of the set $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)$ in (1) when N is a normal operator (satisfying certain assumptions, depending on the context) in a von Neumann factor $\mathfrak{M}$ of type I_∞, II or III.

It is not difficult to establish (cf. Lemma 4.1) that a necessary condition for an operator A to belong to $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|}$ is that the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of A belongs to the closed convex hull $\overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(N))$ of the spectrum of N. If $\mathfrak{M}$ is a factor of type I_∞, then this condition turns out to be sufficient when $\mathcal{A}$ is a continuous MASA. When $\mathcal{A}$ is the discrete MASA, then we require an additional assumption on the essential spectrum of N to circumvent the difficulties that arise for matrices.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a MASA in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for an infinite-dimensional, separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and let N be a normal operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is continuous, then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|} = \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid \sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma_e(N))\}.$$

If $\mathcal{A}$ is discrete, then the above equality also holds provided that $\sigma(N) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma_e(N))$, where $\sigma_e(N)$ denotes the essential spectrum of N.

When $\mathfrak{M}$ is a II_1 factor and N contains precisely three non-collinear points, then an analogous result holds. Perhaps surprisingly, it turns out (cf. Example 4.14) that this is the best result possible in this setting.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type II_1 factor with faithful normal tracial state τ, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a MASA in $\mathfrak{M}$. Let $N \in \mathfrak{M}$ be a normal operator such that $\sigma(N)$ contains precisely three non-collinear points. Then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|} = \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid \tau(A) = \tau(N), \sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(N))\}.$$

We also establish similar results for normal operators in von Neumann factors of type II_∞ and III, and for normal operators in Cuntz C*-algebras with two generators.

The key idea behind the above results is a theorem that can be seen as an approximate multivariate analogue of Kadison's Carpenter Theorem (cf. [12, 13]).

Theorem 1.4 (Approximate Multivariate Carpenter Theorem)**.** *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a von Neumann factor of type I_∞, II or III, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a MASA in $\mathfrak{M}$ with corresponding conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$. Let $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be positive elements in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n A_k = I_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Suppose that*

- *$\mathfrak{M}$ is separable if $\mathfrak{M}$ is of type I_∞, and*
- *$E_{\mathcal{A}}$ is normal except possibly when $\mathfrak{M}$ is type I_∞ and $\mathcal{A}$ is continuous.*

Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there are pairwise orthogonal projections $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n$ in $\mathfrak{M}$ such that

1. $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = I_{\mathfrak{M}}$,
2. $\|A_k - E_{\mathcal{A}}(P_k)\| < \epsilon$,
3. *if $\mathfrak{M}$ is of type I_∞, then $\sigma(P_k) = \sigma_e(P_k)$, and*
4. *if $\mathfrak{M}$ is of type II, then $\tau(A_K) = \tau(P_k)$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is divided into cases depending on the type of the von Neumann factor $\mathfrak{M}$. We also establish a similar result for UHF C*-algebras.

The intractability of the Schur-Horn problem for normal matrices can be explained (cf. Example 3.1) by the fact that there is no finite dimensional analogue of the approximate multivariate carpenter theorem.

We note that Massey and Ravichandran [18] recently and independently obtained results that are similar to the results in our paper. We also mention the recent paper [17], where we establish a Schur-Horn type theorem for arbitrary operators in a II_1 factor by considering singular values instead of spectrum.

In addition to this introduction, there are three other sections. In Section 2 we briefly review a required matricial result and develop some useful terminology. In Section 3 we establish Theorem 1.4, the approximate multivariate carpenter theorem. In Section 4 we establish our results on the Schur-Horn problem for normal operators.

2. Background and Preliminaries

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$, let $\mathcal{D}_n$ denote the diagonal subalgebra, and let $E_n : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{D}_n$ denote the conditional expectation of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ onto $\mathcal{D}_n$. For $T \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{tr}(T)$ will denote the (standard) trace of T, and $\tau(T)$ will denote the normalized trace of T, i.e.

$$\tau(T) = \frac{1}{n}\,\mathrm{tr}(T).$$

We require the following well-known and elementary result about matrices. For the sake of completeness, we include a short proof.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $N \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a normal matrix. Then there exists a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that every diagonal entry of U^*BU is $\tau(B)$ for all $B \in \mathrm{C}^*(N)$.*

Proof. Since N is a normal matrix, there exists a unitary W such that W^*NW is diagonal. Fix $B \in \mathrm{C}^*(N)$. Note W^*BW is also diagonal. Let $\zeta_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ and let

$$V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{bmatrix} (\zeta_n^1)^1 & (\zeta_n^2)^1 & \cdots & (\zeta_n^{n-1})^1 & (\zeta_n^n)^1 \\ (\zeta_n^1)^2 & (\zeta_n^2)^2 & \cdots & (\zeta_n^{n-1})^2 & (\zeta_n^n)^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ (\zeta_n^1)^{n-1} & (\zeta_n^2)^{n-1} & \cdots & (\zeta_n^{n-1})^{n-1} & (\zeta_n^n)^{n-1} \\ (\zeta_n^1)^n & (\zeta_n^2)^n & \cdots & (\zeta_n^{n-1})^n & (\zeta_n^n)^n \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that V is a unitary matrix and the diagonal entries of the matrix V^*W^*BWV are equal to $\tau(B)$. Since B was arbitrary we can take $U = WV$. ■

To simplify discussions of Theorem 1.4, we make the following definition.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra. A finite collection of elements $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ is said to be a partition of unity in $\mathfrak{N}$ if $0 \leq A_k \leq I_{\mathfrak{N}}$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n A_k = I_{\mathfrak{N}}$.

3. Approximate Multivariate Carpenter Theorem

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.4. The proof will be divided into cases depending on the type of the underlying von Neumann factor. But first, we will show that no analogue of Theorem 1.4 can hold in finite dimensions.

3.1. Type I_n factors.

The following example shows that no analogue of Theorem 1.4 holds in finite dimensions, i.e. for von Neumann factors of type I_n.

Example 3.1. In [3, Lemma 4], it is shown that if $N = \operatorname{diag}(0, 1, i)$ and $A = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{i}{2}, \frac{1+i}{2}\right)$, then although $\sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{conv}}(\sigma(N))$ there does not exist a unitary $U \in \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ such that $E_3(U^*NU) = A$.

Consider the projections

$$P_1 = \operatorname{diag}(1, 0, 0), P_2 = \operatorname{diag}(0, 1, 0), \text{ and } P_3 = \operatorname{diag}(0, 0, 1),$$

along with the positive contractions

$$A_1 = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right), A_2 = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \text{ and } A_3 = \operatorname{diag}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Clearly these matrices all belong to $\mathcal{D}$, $\operatorname{tr}(A_k) = 1$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$ and

$$A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = I_3.$$

Hence by Kadison's Carpenter Theorem there are unitaries $U_1, U_2, U_3 \in \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ such that $E_3(U_k^* P_k U_k) = A_k$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$.

Now suppose that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\|A_k - E_3(U^* P_k U)\| < \epsilon$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3$. Then, since the unitary group of $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ is compact, there must be a unitary $U \in \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ such that $A_k = E_3(U^* P_k U)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$. However, writing $N = 0P_1 + 1P_2 + iP_3$ gives

$$E_3(U^*NU) = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{i}{2}, \frac{1+i}{2}\right) = A,$$

which is a contradiction.

3.2. Type I_∞ factors.

We now consider the case of the separable von Neumann factor of type I_∞. This is the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of bounded operators acting on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

3.2.1. *The case of the discrete MASA.*

We first consider the case of the (discrete) diagonal MASA $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We will require the following approximation result for partitions of unity in finite dimensions that are nearly scalar operators.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^n, \{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq [0, 1]$ be such that $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1 = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and pairwise orthogonal projections $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n \in \mathcal{M}_\ell(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = I_\ell$ and*

$$\|E_\ell(P_k) - \operatorname{diag}(\alpha_k, \beta_k, \beta_k, \ldots, \beta_k)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } k.$$

Proof. By applying a small perturbation, we may assume that α_k and β_k are rational for all k. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{\alpha'_k\}_{k=1}^n, \{\beta'_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{N_1}\alpha'_k$ and $\beta_k = \frac{1}{N_2}\beta'_k$ for all k. Choose $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\alpha_k + N_0\beta'_k}{N_0N_2 + 1} - \beta_k \right| < \epsilon$$

for all k, and let $\ell = N_1 + (N_1 - 1)N_0N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Notice that

$$\mathcal{M}_{N_1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{N_0N_2}(\mathbb{C})^{\oplus(N_1-1)}$$

can be identified with a matrix subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}_\ell(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\mathcal{D}_{N_1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{N_0N_2}^{\oplus(N_1-1)} = \mathcal{D}_\ell.$$

Choose two collections of pairwise orthogonal projections

$$\{Q'_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{N_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \{Q''_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{N_0N_2}(\mathbb{C})$$

such that

$$\operatorname{rank}(Q'_k) = \alpha'_k \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{rank}(Q''_k) = N_0\beta'_k$$

for all k. Let

$$Q_k = Q'_k \oplus (Q''_k)^{\oplus(N_1-1)}.$$

It is clear that $\{Q_k\}_{k=1}^n$ are pairwise orthogonal projections summing to I_ℓ.

By Theorem 2.1 there exists a unitary $W_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{N_1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that each diagonal entry of $W_1^*Q'_kW_1$ is the average of the diagonal entries of Q'_k, which is

$$\frac{1}{N_1}(\operatorname{rank}(Q'_k)) = \alpha_k.$$

Thus the Q_k are simultaneously unitarily equivalent to projections of the form

$$A_k \oplus (Q''_k)^{\oplus(N_1-1)}$$

where each diagonal entry of $A_k \in \mathcal{M}_{N_1}(\mathbb{C})$ is α_k.

For each $j = 1, \dots, N_1 - 1$, by pairing the $(j+1)$-th index in $\mathcal{M}_{N_1}(\mathbb{C})$ with the j-th matrix entry in the direct summand $\mathcal{M}_{N_0N_2}(\mathbb{C})^{\oplus(N_1-1)}$, we further obtain that the Q_k are jointly unitarily equivalent in $\mathcal{M}_\ell(\mathbb{C})$ to block matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_k & A_{k,1,2} & A_{k,1,3} & \cdots & A_{k,1,N_1} \\ A_{k,2,1} & D_k & A_{k,2,3} & \cdots & A_{k,2,N_1} \\ A_{k,3,1} & A_{k,3,2} & D_k & \cdots & A_{k,3,N_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{k,N_1,1} & A_{k,N_1,2} & A_{k,N_1,3} & \cdots & D_k \end{bmatrix}$$

where $D_k \in \mathcal{M}_{N_0N_2+1}(\mathbb{C})$ is a diagonal matrix with α_k appearing once along the diagonal, 1 appearing $\mathrm{rank}(Q''_k) = N_0\beta'_k$ times along the diagonal, and all other diagonal entries being zero.

Applying Theorem 2.1 again, there exists a unitary $W_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{N_0N_2+1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that each diagonal entry of $W_2^* D_k W_2$ is the average of the diagonal entries of D_k, which is

$$\frac{\alpha_k + N_0\beta'_k}{N_0N_2+1}.$$

It follows that conjugating the above matrices with the unitary

$$1 \oplus W_2^{\oplus(N_1-1)} \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{N_0N_2+1}(\mathbb{C}),$$

results in projection matrices P_k satisfying the desired conclusion. ■

Theorem 3.3. *Theorem 1.4 holds in the case $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is a discrete MASA.*

Proof. We begin with several perturbations to place the A_ks into a more desirable form so that Lemma 3.2 may be applied. Since the result is trivial for $n = 1$, we assume $n \geq 2$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\{e_m\}_{m\geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{D}$. By perturbing each A_k if necessary, we may assume (at a cost of 2ϵ to our approximation) that $2\epsilon I_{\mathcal{H}} \leq A_n \leq (1-2\epsilon)I_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Since a diagonal self-adjoint operator has at most countably many eigenvalues of finite multiplicity, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the diagonal entries of A_k with index greater than N are within $\frac{\epsilon}{n}$ of the essential spectrum of A_k for all $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Since $2\epsilon I_{\mathcal{H}} \leq A_n \leq (1-2\epsilon)I_{\mathcal{H}}$, we may then, at a cost of ϵ to our approximation, perturb the diagonal entries of each A_k so that for $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$ the diagonal entries of A_k with index greater than N belong to the essential spectrum of A_k and $\epsilon I_{\mathcal{H}} \leq A_n \leq (1-\epsilon)I_{\mathcal{H}}$.

By the above paragraphs, we have reduced the problem to the case where $\epsilon I_{\mathcal{H}} \leq A_n \leq (1-\epsilon)I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ decomposes as $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}'$, where $\mathcal{H}_0$ is a finite dimensional Hilbert space, such that with respect to this decomposition of $\mathcal{H}$ we can write

$$A_k = D_k \oplus A'_k,$$

where D_k and A'_k are diagonal operators satisfying

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} D_k = I_{\mathcal{H}_0}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} A'_k = I_{\mathcal{H}'}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(A'_k) = \sigma_e(A'_k) \text{ for } k \neq n.$$

Let $\{e'_m\}_{m\geq 1}$ be the orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}'$ obtained from $\{e_m\}_{m\geq 1}$ by removing the first N elements and reindexing without reordering.

For $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$ let $\{\alpha_{k,j}\}_{j=1}^{\ell_k} \subseteq \sigma(A'_k)$ be an $\frac{\epsilon}{n}$-cover. By perturbing both A'_k and A'_n simultaneously in each diagonal entry by at most $\frac{\epsilon}{n}$, we may assume, at a cost of ϵ to the final approximation, and of losing the inequality $\epsilon I_{\mathcal{H}'} \leq A'_n \leq (1-\epsilon) I_{\mathcal{H}'}$, that

$$A'_k = \mathrm{diag}(\beta_{k,1}, \beta_{k,2}, \ldots)$$

where $\beta_{k,m} \in \{\alpha_{k,j}\}_{j=1}^{\ell_k}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

For each $(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\{1, \ldots, \ell_j\}$, let

$$I_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1})} = \{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid \beta_{k,j} = \alpha_{k,i_j} \text{ for all } k = 1, \ldots, n-1\}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{N}$ is a disjoint union of the $I_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1})}$. Therefore, by combining elements of $\{e'_m\}_{m\geq 1}$ according to which set $I_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1})}$ the index m belongs to, we can write

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}'_0 \oplus \left(\oplus_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{H}_j\right)$$

where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{H}_0$ and $\mathcal{H}'_0$ are finite dimensional Hilbert spaces, each $\mathcal{H}_j$ is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and such that with respect to this decomposition of $\mathcal{H}$,

$$A_k = D_k \oplus D'_k \oplus \left(\oplus_{j=1}^{\ell} \gamma_{k,j} I_{\mathcal{H}_j}\right)$$

where D_k and D'_k are each diagonal operators,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} D_k = I_{\mathcal{H}_0}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} D'_j = I_{\mathcal{H}'_0},$$

and

$$\{\gamma_{k,j} \mid j = 1, \ldots, \ell, k = 1, \ldots, n\} \subseteq [0,1]$$

with $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k,j} = 1$ for each j. Note that although our assumptions were only explicitly$A_1, \ldots A_{n-1}$, we necessarily obtain the correct form for A_n because of the hypothesis that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_k = I_{\mathcal{H}}$.

By increasing ℓ if necessary, by decomposing one of the infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces occurring in the above decomposition into multiple infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, we may assume that $\dim(\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}'_0) \leq \ell$. This decomposition into direct sums further reduces the problem to one of two cases: either

$$A_k = \beta_k I_{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) \text{ for all } k,$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is a infinite dimensional Hilbert space and $\beta_k \in [0,1]$ satisfy $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_k = 1$, or

$$A_k = \alpha_k \oplus \beta_k I_{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{K}) \text{ for all } k$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is a infinite dimensional Hilbert space and $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in [0,1]$ satisfy $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k = 1$.

For the first case, we may apply Lemma 3.2 with $\alpha_k = \beta_k$ to obtain $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and pairwise orthogonal projections $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = I_m$, and such that each entry along the diagonal of P_k is within ϵ of β_k. In this case, the result now follows by taking an infinite direct sum of the P_k. To ensure that $\sigma(P_k) = \sigma_e(P_k)$, we can perturb each β_k so that $2\epsilon \leq \beta_k \leq 1-2\epsilon$ and require the approximation from Lemma 3.2 to be within ϵ of the desired matrices. This implies that the projections with the desired diagonal are non-trivial. Thus, taking their direct sum gives projections with spectrum equal to the essential spectrum.

For the second case, we may apply Lemma 3.2 to obtain $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and pairwise orthogonal projections $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = I_m$, the first diagonal entry of each P_k is within ϵ of α_k, and such that the other entries along the diagonal of P_k are each within ϵ of the corresponding β_k. The result in this case now follows from the first case by excluding the first m basis vectors of $\mathcal{K}$. ∎

3.2.2. *The case of a continuous MASA.* For the case of a continuous MASA in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we first deal with the case when each A_k is a scalar multiple of the identity.

Lemma 3.4. *Theorem 1.4 holds in the case $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{A}$ is a continuous MASA, and $A_k = \alpha_k I_{\mathcal{H}}$ for each k, where the $\alpha_k \in [0,1]$ satisfy $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha_k = \frac{a_k}{N}$ for each k, where the $a_k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ satisfy $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k = N$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a continuous MASA, there exist pairwise orthogonal equivalent projections $\{Q'_j\}_{j=1}^N \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^N Q'_j = I_{\mathcal{H}}$. These projections give rise to a copy of $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Choose pairwise orthogonal projections $\{Q_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that each Q_k is a sum of a_k distinct Q'_js. Theorem 2.1 implies there exists a unitary $U \in \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $Q'_j U^* Q_k U Q'_j = \alpha_k Q'_j$ for all j, k. Defining $P_k = U^* Q_k U$ yields the desired projections. ∎

Theorem 3.5. *Theorem 1.4 holds in the case $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is a continuous MASA.*

Proof. By approximation, we may assume that the spectrum of each A_k is finite. By taking compressions of all possible intersections of the spectral projections of the A_k's (which are necessarily infinite projections since $\mathcal{A}$ is continuous), we can apply Lemma 3.4 to each compression. Taking a sum of these finite number of compressions then yields the result. ∎

3.3. **Type II$_1$ factors.** For the case of a von Neumann factor of type II$_1$, we first consider the case when each A_k is a positive scalar. For this, we require the following two technical results about approximating real numbers.

Lemma 3.6. *Given $\epsilon > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq (0,1)$, there exists $\{q_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ such that*

1. $q_k \in \left[\frac{1}{2}r_k, r_k\right)$,
2. $\left|\frac{r_k}{\sum_{j=1}^n r_j} - \frac{q_k}{\sum_{j=1}^n q_j}\right| < \epsilon$, *and*
3. $\left|\frac{r_k}{\sum_{j=1}^n r_j} - \frac{r_k - q_k}{\sum_{j=1}^n r_j - q_j}\right| < \epsilon$ *for all k*

Proof. Choose $0 < \delta \leq \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}r_k \,\middle|\, k = 1, \ldots, n\right\}$ and for each k choose $q_k \in \left[\frac{1}{2}r_k, \frac{1}{2}r_k + \delta\right) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$\frac{r_k}{2n\delta + \sum_{j=1}^n r_j} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}r_k}{\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}r_k + \delta\right)} \leq \frac{q_k}{\sum_{j=1}^n q_j} \leq \frac{\frac{1}{2}r_k + \delta}{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2}r_j} = \frac{r_k + 2\delta}{\sum_{j=1}^n r_j}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{r_k - 2\delta}{\sum_{j=1}^n r_j} = \frac{r_k - \frac{1}{2}r_k - \delta}{\sum_{j=1}^n r_j - \frac{1}{2}r_j} &\leq \frac{r_k - q_k}{\sum_{j=1}^n r_j - q_j} \\ &\leq \frac{r_k - \frac{1}{2}r_k}{\sum_{j=1}^n \left(r_j - \left(\frac{1}{2}r_j + \delta\right)\right)} \\ &= \frac{r_k}{-2n\delta + \sum_{j=1}^n r_j}\end{aligned}$$

for each k. Therefore, since $\{r_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ are fixed, the result follows by choosing δ sufficiently small. ■

Lemma 3.7. *Given $\epsilon > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $(p_k)_{k=1}^n \subseteq (0,1)$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n p_k = 1$, there exists $\{q_j^{(k)} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}, k = 1, \ldots, n\} \subseteq [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ such that*

$$\sum_{j\geq 1} q_j^{(k)} = p_k \quad \textit{and} \quad \left|p_k - \frac{q_j^{(k)}}{\sum_{k=1}^n q_j^{(k)}}\right| < \epsilon$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all k.

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Applying Lemma 3.6 with $r_k = p_k$ for all k, we obtain $q_1^{(1)}, \ldots, q_1^{(n)} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $q_1^{(k)} \in \left[\frac{1}{2}p_k, p_k\right)$,

$$\left|p_k - \frac{q_1^{(k)}}{\sum_{j=1}^n q_1^{(j)}}\right| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \left|p_k - \frac{p_k - q_1^{(k)}}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j - q_1^{(j)}}\right| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon.$$

Next, we may apply Lemma 3.6 with $r_k = p_k - q_1^{(k)}$ for all k to obtain $q_2^{(1)}, \ldots, q_2^{(n)} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$q_2^{(k)} \in \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(p_k - q_1^{(k)}\right), p_k - q_1^{(k)}\right)$$

(so that $q_1^{(k)} + q_2^{(k)} \in \left[\frac{3}{4}p_k, p_k\right)$),

$$\left|\frac{p_k - q_1^{(k)}}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j - q_1^{(j)}} - \frac{q_2^{(k)}}{\sum_{j=1}^n q_2^{(j)}}\right| < \frac{1}{4}\epsilon, \text{ and}$$

$$\left|\frac{p_k - q_1^{(k)}}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j - q_1^{(j)}} - \frac{p_k - q_1^{(k)} - q_2^{(k)}}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j - q_1^{(j)} - q_2^{(j)}}\right| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon.$$

Thus

$$\left|p_k - \frac{q_2^{(k)}}{\sum_{j=1}^n q_2^{(j)}}\right| < \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right)\epsilon$$

for all k.

By recursively applying Lemma 3.6 with $r_k = p_k - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} q_j^{(k)}$ at the ℓ^{th}-step, we will obtain $\{q_j^{(k)} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}, k = 1, \ldots, n\} \subseteq [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} q_j^{(k)} \in \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{2^j} p_k, p_k\right) \text{ and } \left|p_k - \frac{q_\ell^{(k)}}{\sum_{j=1}^n q_\ell^{(j)}}\right| < \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{2^j}\right)\epsilon$$

for all k and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the result follows. ∎

Lemma 3.8. *Theorem 1.4 holds in the case that* $\mathfrak{M}$ *is a* II_1 *factor and* $A_k = \alpha_k I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ *for some* $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq [0,1]$ *with* $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, $\alpha_k \neq 0$ for all k. By Lemma 3.7 there exists $\{q_j^{(k)} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}, k = 1, \ldots, n\} \subseteq [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} q_j^{(k)} = \alpha_k$$

for all k and, if

$$\gamma_{j,k} = \frac{q_j^{(k)}}{\sum_{k=1}^n q_j^{(k)}}$$

then $|\alpha_k - \gamma_{j,k}| < \epsilon$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Since $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type II$_1$ factor, there exists pairwise orthogonal projections

$$\left\{Q_j^{(k)} \middle| j \in \mathbb{N}, k = 1, \ldots, n\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$$

such that $\tau\left(Q_j^{(k)}\right) = q_j^{(k)}$. For each k let

$$P_k = \sum_{j\geq 1} Q_j^{(k)}$$

(the sum in the strong operator topology) and for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$Q_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_j^{(k)}.$$

Note the P_ks and Q_js are clearly projections in $\mathfrak{M}$ such that $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is a collection of pairwise orthogonal projections with $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and $\tau(P_k) = \alpha_k$. Furthermore, notice that $Q_{j_1}Q_{j_2} = 0$ if $j_1 \neq j_2$, $\sum_{j\geq 1} Q_j = I_{\mathfrak{M}}$, and

$$Q_j P_k = P_k Q_j = Q_j P_k Q_j = Q_j^{(k)}$$

for each j, k.

For a fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the II$_1$ factor $Q_j \mathfrak{M} Q_j$. Notice there exists $a_{j,1}, a_{j,2}, \ldots, a_{j,n}, b_j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $b_j \neq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{j,k} = b_j \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{Q_j\mathfrak{M}Q_j}\left(Q_j^{(k)}\right) = \gamma_{j,k} = \frac{a_{j,k}}{b_j}$$

for all k. Since $Q_j = \sum_{k=1}^n Q_j^{(k)}$ with $Q_j^{(k)} \in \mathcal{A}$, by dividing $Q_j^{(k)}$ into $a_{j,k}$ mutually orthogonal projections each of trace $\frac{1}{b_j}$ and by using the property of type II$_1$ factors that projections of equal trace are Murray-von Neumann equivalent, we can construct a unital inclusion of $\mathfrak{M}_{b_j}(\mathbb{C})$ inside $Q_j\mathfrak{M}Q_j$ such that $Q_jP_kQ_j$ corresponds to a diagonal projection matrix with 1 appearing on the diagonal precisely $a_{j,k}$ times and each diagonal projection lies in $\mathcal{A}$. To simplify notation, let $R_{j,1}, \ldots, R_{j,b_j}$ denote the pairwise orthogonal projections in $Q_j\mathcal{A}Q_j$ obtained from the diagonal matrix units of $\mathcal{M}_{b_j}(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 there exists a unitary $U_j \in Q_j\mathfrak{M}Q_j$ such that

$$R_{j,\ell}U_j^* Q_j P_k Q_j U_j R_{j,\ell} = \gamma_{j,k} R_{j,\ell}$$

for all ℓ, k.

Let

$$U = \sum_{j\geq 1} U_j \in \mathfrak{M}$$

(where the sum is in the strong operator topology). It is clear that U exists and is a unitary operator in $\mathfrak{M}$. Furthermore, for all j, ℓ, k,

$$\|\alpha_k R_{j,\ell} - R_{j,\ell} U^* P_k U R_{j,\ell}\| = |\alpha_k - \gamma_{j,k}| < \epsilon.$$

Notice that $\{R_{j,\ell} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}, \ell = 1, \ldots, b_j\}$ are pairwise orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\sum_{j\geq 1}\sum_{\ell=1}^{b_j} R_{j,\ell} = I_{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

Hence

$$\|\alpha_k I_{\mathfrak{M}} - E_{\mathcal{A}}(U^*P_kU)\| = \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N},\ell\in\{1,\ldots,b_j\}} \|\tau(P_k)R_{j,\ell} - R_{j,\ell}U^*P_kUR_{j,\ell}\| < \epsilon.$$

Thus the proof is complete as $\{U^*P_kU\}_{k=1}^n$ is an appropriate set of projections. ∎

Theorem 3.9. *Theorem 1.4 holds in the case $\mathfrak{M}$ is a II$_1$ factor.*

Proof. Using the fact that $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ are contained within a diffuse, separably generated, abelian subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_0$ of $\mathcal{A}$, that $\mathcal{A}_0 \simeq L_\infty[0,1]$, and by averaging functions over a cover of $[0,1]$ on which the essential diameter of functions does not vary substantially, there exists an $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{\alpha_{k,j} \mid k \in \{1,\ldots,n\}, j \in \{1,\ldots,\ell\}\} \subseteq [0,1]$, and pairwise orthogonal projections $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^\ell \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^\ell Q_j = I_{\mathfrak{M}}$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{k,j} = 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^\ell \alpha_{k,j}\tau(Q_j) = \tau(A_k), \quad \text{and} \quad \left\|A_k - \sum_{j=1}^\ell \alpha_{k,j}Q_j\right\| < \epsilon$$

for all k.

Fix $j \in \{1,\ldots,\ell\}$. By applying Lemma 3.8 to the type II$_1$ factor $Q_j\mathfrak{M}Q_j$ togehter with the MASA $Q_j\mathcal{A}Q_j$, there exists pairwise orthogonal projections $\left\{P_k^{(j)}\right\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq Q_j\mathfrak{M}Q_j$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k^{(j)} = Q_j$, $\tau\left(P_k^{(j)}\right) = \alpha_{k,j}\tau(Q_j)$, and

$$\left\|\alpha_{k,j}Q_j - E_{Q_j\mathcal{A}Q_j}\left(P_k^{(j)}\right)\right\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } k$$

For each k let

$$P_k = \sum_{j=1}^\ell P_k^{(j)}.$$

By construction, it is clear that $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is a collection of pairwise orthogonal projections such that $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = \sum_{j=1}^\ell Q_j = I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and

$$\tau(P_k) = \sum_{j=1}^\ell \alpha_{k,j}\tau(Q_j) = \tau(A_k).$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|E_{\mathcal{A}}(P_k) - \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha_{k,j}Q_j\right\| \\ &= \left\|\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} E_{Q_j\mathcal{A}Q_j}(Q_jP_kQ_j) - \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha_{k,j}Q_j\right\| \\ &= \max_{1\leq j\leq \ell}\left\{\left\|E_{Q_j\mathcal{A}Q_j}\left(P_k^{(j)}\right) - \alpha_{k,j}Q_j\right\|\right\} < \epsilon\end{aligned}$$

for all k. Thus

$$\|E_{\mathcal{A}}(P_k) - A_k\| < 2\epsilon$$

for all k as desired. ■

For certain operators in II$_1$ factors, equality can be obtained in Theorem 1.4. This is seen in the next result, which can be obtained from a careful analysis of the above proof.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type II$_1$ factor and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a MASA in $\mathfrak{M}$ with corresponding normal conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$. Let $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^m$ be pairwise orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^m Q_j = I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and let $\{\{\alpha_{j,k}\}_{j=1}^m\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq [0,1]\cap\mathbb{Q}$ satisfy*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{j,k} = 1$$

for all j. Then there exists pairwise orthogonal projections $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and

$$E_{\mathcal{A}}(P_k) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{j,k}Q_j$$

for all k.

Proof. By compressing $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ by each Q_j separately, we may assume that $m = 1$. A careful examination of the proof of Lemma 3.8 reveals that if the trace of each projection in the hypothesis is rational, then equality may be obtained in the conclusion. Hence the condition that each $\alpha_{j,k}$ is rational implies the result. ■

To further examine when Theorem 1.4 holds with equality, we require the following three definitions.

Definition 3.11. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type II$_1$ factor and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a MASA in $\mathfrak{M}$. The normalizer of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathfrak{M}$, denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}$, is the subgroup of the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{M})$ of $\mathfrak{M}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}} := \{U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{M}) \mid U^*\mathcal{A}U = \mathcal{A}\}.$$

The MASA $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be semiregular if $(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}})'' \cap \mathfrak{M}$ is a factor. If, in addition, $(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}})'' \cap \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be regular (or Cartan).

Definition 3.12 ([22])**.** Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a II_1 factor with trace τ and let $\mathfrak{N}_1$ and $\mathfrak{N}_2$ be von Neumann algebras of $\mathfrak{M}$. If $E_{\mathfrak{N}_k} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{N}_k$ are the normal conditional expectations, it is said that $\mathfrak{N}_1$ and $\mathfrak{N}_2$ are orthogonal if any of the following equivalent conditions hold:

(1) $\tau(T_1T_2) = 0$ for all $T_1 \in \mathfrak{N}_1$, $T_2 \in \mathfrak{N}_2$ with $\tau(T_k) = 0$.
(2) $\tau(T_1T_2) = \tau(T_1)\tau(T_2)$ for all $T_1 \in \mathfrak{N}_1$ and $T_2 \in \mathfrak{N}_2$.
(3) $E_{\mathfrak{N}_1}(T_2) = \tau(T_2)$ for all $T_2 \in \mathfrak{N}_2$.

Definition 3.13. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type II_1 factor. A MASA $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ is said to be totally complementable if for every projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$ the MASA $P\mathcal{A}P$ of $P\mathfrak{M}P$ admits a diffuse orthogonal abelian subalgebra.

Remark 3.14. It is not difficult to see that the Cartan MASA in the hyperfinite II_1 factor is totally complementable and thus, by [21, Proposition 3.6], so is every semiregular MASA in a type II_1 factor with separable predual.

With the above definitions in hand, we are now able to establish a multivariate generalization of [2, Theorem 3.2]. We note that the proof is virtually identical.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type II_1 factor and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a totally complementable MASA in $\mathfrak{M}$ with corresponding normal conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$. Let $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be a partition of unity in $\mathcal{A}$ such that each $\sigma(A_k)$ contains a finite number of points. Then there exists a collection of pairwise orthogonal projections $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = I_{\mathfrak{M}}$, and and $E_{\mathcal{A}}(P_k) = A_k$ for all k.*

Proof. By the assumptions on the A_k's, there exists a set of pairwise orthogonal, non-zero projections $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^m \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ summing to the identity and scalars

$$\{\alpha_{k,j} \mid k = 1, \ldots, n, j = 1, \ldots, m\} \subseteq [0, 1]$$

satisfying $A_k = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{k,j} Q_j$ for all k and $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{k,j} = 1$ for all j.

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is totally complementable in $\mathfrak{M}$, for each j there exists a diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_j$ of the type II_1 factor $Q_j\mathfrak{M}Q_j$ such that $Q_j\mathcal{A}Q_j$ and $\mathcal{B}_j$ are orthogonal. Since $\mathcal{B}_j$ is diffuse, there exists pariwise orthogonal projections $\{P_{k,j}\}_{k=1}^n$ summing to Q_j such that $\tau_{Q_j\mathfrak{M}Q_j}(P_{k,j}) = \alpha_{k,j}$ for all k. Therefore, since $Q_j\mathcal{A}Q_j$ and $\mathcal{B}_j$ are orthogonal,

$$E_{Q_j\mathcal{A}Q_j}(P_{k,j}) = \tau_{Q_j\mathfrak{M}Q_j}(P_{k,j})Q_j = \alpha_{k,j}Q_j.$$

For each k, let $P_k = \sum_{j=1}^m P_{k,j}$. Therefore $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is a collection of pariwise orthogonal projections summing to the identity such that

$$E_{\mathcal{A}}(P_k) = \sum_{j=1}^m E_{Q_j \mathcal{A} Q_j}(P_{k,j}) = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{k,j} Q_j = A_k. \qquad \blacksquare$$

Of course, approximate results yield precise results in the setting of an ultraproduct.

Theorem 3.16. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type II_1 factor, let $\mathcal{A}$ be a MASA is $\mathfrak{M}$, let ω be a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$, and let $\mathfrak{M}^\omega$ and $\mathcal{A}^\omega$ be the ultraproducts of $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ respectively. If $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is a partition of unity in $\mathcal{A}^\omega$ and $E_{\mathcal{A}^\omega} : \mathfrak{M}^\omega \to \mathcal{A}^\omega$ is the normal conditional expectation of $\mathfrak{M}^\omega$ onto $\mathcal{A}^\omega$, then there exists pairwise orthogonal projections $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{M}^\omega$ such that*

$$E_{\mathcal{A}^\omega}(P_k) = A_k$$

for all k.

Proof. First choose a representative $(A_{1,j})_{j\geq 1} \in \mathcal{A}^\omega$ of A_1 such that $0 \leq A_{1,j} \leq I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ for all j. Next, choose a representative $(A'_{2,j})_{j\geq 1} \in \mathcal{A}^\omega$ of A_2 such that $0 \leq A'_{2,j} \leq I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ for all j. We desire to modify the representative $(A'_{2,j})_{j\geq 1}$ so that $0 \leq A_{1,j} + A'_{2,j} \leq I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ for all j. Consider the function $f \in C([0,\infty))$ defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq 1 \end{cases}.$$

For each j define

$$A_{2,j} = f(A_{1,j} + A'_{2,j}) - A_{1,j} \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Hence it is clear that $0 \leq A_{2,j} \leq I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ for all j,

$$A_{1,j} + A_{2,j} = f(A_{1,j} + A'_{2,j}) \leq I_{\mathfrak{M}}$$

for all j, and

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{2,j})_{j\geq 1} &= (f(A_{1,j} + A'_{2,j}))_{j\geq 1} - (A_{1,j})_{j\geq 1} \\ &= f(A_1 + A_2) - A_1 = A_1 + A_2 - A_1 = A_2. \end{aligned}$$

For $k = 2, \ldots, n-1$, by recursively selecting a representative $(A'_{k,j})_{j\geq 1} \in \mathcal{A}^\omega$ of A_k such that $0 \leq A'_{k,j} \leq I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and defining

$$A_{k,j} = f(A_{1,j} + A_{2,j} + \cdots + A_{k-1,j} + A'_{k,j}) - A_{1,j} - A_{2,j} - \cdots - A_{k-1,j} \in \mathfrak{M}$$

for all j, we obtain representatives $(A_{k,j})_{j\geq 1} \in \mathcal{A}^\omega$ of A_k such that $0 \leq A_{k,j} \leq I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and

$$0 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} A_{k,j} \leq I_{\mathfrak{M}}$$

for all j. Therefore, if $A_{n,j} = I_{\mathfrak{M}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} A_{k,j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $0 \leq A_{n,j} \leq I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ for all j, $\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k,j} = I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ for all j, and

$$(A_{n,j})_{j\geq 1} = I_{\mathfrak{M}^\omega} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k = A_n.$$

Let $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$ be the normal conditional expectation of $\mathfrak{M}$ onto $\mathcal{A}$. By Theorem 1.4 and by construction, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists pariwise orthogonal projections $\{P_{k,j}\}_{k=1}^{n} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ that sum to the identity such that

$$\|E_{\mathcal{A}}(P_{k,j}) - A_{k,j}\| < \frac{1}{j}$$

for all k. Therefore, if

$$P_k = (P_{k,j})_{j\geq 1} \in \mathfrak{M}^\omega,$$

then $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^{n}$ are pairwise orthogonal projections that sum to $I_{\mathfrak{M}^\omega}$ such that

$$E_{\mathcal{A}^\omega}(P_k) = (E_{\mathcal{A}}(P_{k,j}))_{j\geq 1} = (A_{k,j})_{j\geq 1} = A_k. \qquad \blacksquare$$

3.4. **Type II$_\infty$ factors.** The proof of the II$_\infty$ case of Theorem 1.4 follows easily using previously illustrated techniques and arguments.

Theorem 3.17. *Theorem 1.4 holds in the case that $\mathfrak{M}$ is a II$_\infty$ factor.*

Proof. The proof of the case where each A_k is a scalar follows by using arguments from Lemma 3.4 along with the fact that one can find n pairwise orthogonal, infinite projections in $\mathcal{A}$. The result then follows from using finite approximations of the A_ks, taking all possible intersections of spectral projections, using the scalar case to deal with the infinite common spectral projections, and using the II$_1$ case of Theorem 1.4 to deal with the finite common spectral projections. ■

3.5. **Type III factors.** The III case of Theorem 1.4 is a trivial application of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.18. *Theorem 1.4 holds in the case $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type III factor.*

Proof. The proof reduces to the case each A_k is a scalar by using finite approximations. The result then trivially follows by using arguments in Lemma 3.4 along with the fact that the identity in a type III factor can be divided into an arbitrary number of pairwise orthogonal projections contained in $\mathcal{A}$. ■

3.6. **UHF C*-algebras.** In this section we briefly examine how our results generalize to other classes of C*-algebras. One excellent candidate is the class of UHF C*-algebras. This is due to their matrix algebra substructures, and the clear choice of a MASA – namely, the diagonal subalgebra.

It is tempting to speculate that an approximate multivariate carpenter theorem does not hold for any class of UHF C*-algebras, since no such result holds for matrix algebras (cf. Example 3.1). However, it turns out that this is not the case.

Theorem 3.19. *Let* $\mathfrak{A}$ *be an infinite UHF C*-algebra (i.e. not a matrix algebra) and let* $\mathfrak{D}$ *be the diagonal subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{A}$ *with corresponding conditional expectation* $E : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{D}$*. Let* $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n$ *be a partition of unity in* $\mathcal{D}$*. Then for each* $\epsilon > 0$ *there exists a collection of (non-zero) pairwise orthogonal projections* $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ *such that* $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = I_{\mathfrak{A}}$ *and*

$$\|E(P_k) - A_k\| < \epsilon$$

for all k*.*

Proof. By approximating, we may assume that $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in \mathfrak{D} \cap \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ where $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ is part of the finite dimensional part in the construction of $\mathfrak{A}$. Thus, by applying the following argument m times, we may assume that $m = 1$ and each A_k is a (non-zero) scalar.

Consider the matrix algebra sequence

$$\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n_2}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \cdots .$$

It is clear that we can approximate $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n$ by rational numbers whose denominators are n_j for some large enough j. Hence, as each A_k is a diagonal scalar matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{n_j}(\mathbb{C})$, by Theorem 2.1 we can choose (non-zero) pairwise orthogonal projections with the correct diagonals to obtain the result. ∎

Remark 3.20. Note that the difference between this result and Theorem 1.4 for II$_1$ factors is the additional conclusion obtained for II$_1$ factors that $\tau(P_k) = \tau(A_k)$ for each k. This cannot be accomplished in the UHF setting, since projections in matrix algebras necessarily have rational traces when viewed as elements in a UHF C*-algebra.

4. Schur-Horn Type Theorems for Normal Operators

In this section we will establish our approximate Schur-Horn type theorems for normal operators in a von Neumann factor by applying Theorem 1.4. Recall that given a von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$, a MASA $\mathcal{A}$ with corresponding conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$, and a normal operator $N \in \mathfrak{M}$, our goal is to characterize the set of approximate diagonals

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|} := \overline{\{E_{\mathcal{A}}(U^*NU) \mid U \text{ a unitary in } \mathfrak{M}\}}^{\|\cdot\|}.$$

In order to prove a necessary condition for an operator A to belong to $\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|}$, we first require the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ be unital C^*-algebras with $\mathfrak{A}$ abelian and let $\Phi : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{A}$ be a unital positive map. If $N \in \mathfrak{B}$ is a normal operator and*

$$T \in \overline{\{\Phi(U^*NU) \mid U \text{ a unitary in } \mathfrak{B}\}}^{\|\cdot\|},$$

then $\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(N))$.

Proof. Suppose $T = \Phi(U^*NU)$ for some unitary $U \in \mathfrak{B}$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is abelian,

$$\sigma(T) = \{\varphi(T) \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{A})\},$$

where $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ denotes the set of multiplicative linear functionals. Notice for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{A})$ that $\varphi \circ \Phi$ is a state on $\mathfrak{B}$. Hence, as U^*NU is normal,

$$\varphi(T) = \varphi(\Phi(U^*NU)) \in \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(U^*NU)) = \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(N))$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{A})$. Hence $\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(N))$. The result then follows by the semicontinuity of the spectrum. ■

Corollary 4.2. *Let N be a normal operator in a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a MASA in $\mathfrak{M}$ with corresponding conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$. Then for every $A \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|}$,*

$$\sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(N)).$$

As in Section 3, we will consider von Neumann factors according to their type.

4.1. Type I_∞ factors.

For the case of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we divide the discussion into two parts, depending on the type of MASA.

4.1.1. *The case of the discrete MASA.*

In this section we will generalize [20, Theorem 3.13] as much as seems possible. In particular, we will establish Theorem 1.2 for the case of a discrete MASA. The condition $\sigma(N) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma_e(N))$ remains necessary for us due to the lack of a Schur-Horn type theorem for normal matrices.

We begin with the following simplification.

Lemma 4.3. *Let N be a normal operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(N) = \sigma_e(N)$. Then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{D}}(T)}^{\|\cdot\|} = \{T \in \mathcal{D} \mid \sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(N))\}.$$

Proof. One inclusion follows from Lemma 4.1 and the fact that $E_{\mathcal{D}}$ is completely positive.

For the other inclusion, fix $T \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(N))$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since T and N are normal operators, it is clear that we can find normal operators $T_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ and $N_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

- $\sigma(T_0)$ and $\sigma(N_0)$ consist of a finite number of points,
- $\sigma_e(N_0) = \sigma(N_0)$,
- $\|T - T_0\| \leq \epsilon$,
- $\|N - N_0\| \leq \epsilon$, and
- $\sigma(T_0) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(N_0))$.

It suffices to prove the result for the pair N_0 and T_0.

Let $\sigma(T_0) = \{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^m$ and $\sigma(N_0) = \sigma_e(N_0) = \{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^n$. Since

$$\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^m = \sigma(T_0) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(N_0)) = \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^n),$$

and since T_0 is a diagonal operator, there exists

$$\{\gamma_{j,k} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}, k = 1, \ldots, n\} \subseteq [0, 1]$$

such that $\sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_{j,k} = 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$T_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_{1,k}, \gamma_{2,k}, \gamma_{3,k}, \ldots).$$

Note that by perturbing each $\gamma_{1,k}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\gamma_{1,k} \in (0, 1)$ for all k, $\sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_{1,k} = 1$, and

$$\left\| T_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_{1,k}, \gamma_{2,k}, \gamma_{3,k}, \ldots) \right\| < \epsilon.$$

Let

$$M = \sup_k |\beta_k| + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \inf_k \gamma_{1,k} > 0.$$

For each k let

$$A_k = \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_{1,k}, \gamma_{2,k}, \gamma_{3,k}, \ldots) \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Thus $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is a partition of unity in $\mathcal{D}$. By Theorem 3.3 there exists pairwise orthogonal projections $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n$ with $\sigma(P_k) = \sigma_e(P_k)$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and

$$\|A_k - E_{\mathcal{D}}(P_k)\| < \min\left\{\frac{\epsilon}{M}, \frac{\gamma}{2}\right\}$$

for all k. Since $\|A_k\| \geq \gamma$, we see that $E_{\mathcal{D}}(P_k) \neq 0$ for each k so $P_k \neq 0$ and $P_k \neq I_{\mathcal{H}}$ for all k.

Notice that

$$\left\| T_0 - E_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_k P_k\right)\right\| \leq \epsilon + \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\beta_k| \, \|A_k - E_{\mathcal{D}}(P_k)\| < 2\epsilon.$$

However, it is clear that $N' = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_k P_k$ is a normal operator such that $\sigma(N') = \sigma_e(N') = \sigma(N_0) = \sigma_e(N_0)$. Whence N' and N_0 are approximately unitarily equivalent in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and the result follows. ■

Theorem 4.3 immediately implies the following result.

Corollary 4.4. *If $T \in \mathcal{D}$, then there exists a normal operator $N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(N) = \sigma_e(N) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ where $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^{3} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ are non-collinear points and $T \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{D}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|}$.*

Example 4.5. In [3, Proposition 5] it was shown that there is no normal operator whose spectrum is $\{0, 1, i\}$ and whose diagonal is

$$T = \mathrm{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{i}{2}, \frac{1+i}{2}, 0, i, 1, 0, i, 1, 0, i, 1, \ldots\right).$$

However, Corollary 4.4 says T is almost the diagonal of a normal operator with spectrum and essential spectrum $\{0, 1, i\}$.

Using the above techniques and Lemma 4.3, we obtain the following preliminary result to Theorem 1.2 .

Proposition 4.6. *Let N be a normal operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\{T \in \mathcal{D} \mid \sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma_e(N))\} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{D}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|}.$$

Proof. Fix $T \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\sigma(T) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma_e(N))$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $T_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\|T - T_0\| < \epsilon$, $\sigma_e(T_0) = \{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^{n}$, and $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_e(T) = \{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^{m}$ where $\alpha_j, \beta_k \in \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma_e(N))$ (where $m = 0$ is possible).

Since N is a normal operator, there exists a normal operator $N_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|N - N_0\| < \epsilon$, $\sigma_e(N_0) = \{\gamma_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell}$, $\sigma(N_0) \setminus \sigma_e(N_0) = \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{d}$ (where $d = 0$ is possible), and $\{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^{n} \cup \{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^{m} \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\{\gamma_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell})$. To complete the proof, it suffices to show

$$T_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{D}}(N_0)}^{\|\cdot\|}.$$

Note that the case $d = 0$ follows directly from Lemma 4.3.

For $j = 1, \ldots, m$ let t_j be the multiplicity of the eigenvalue α_j in T_0. Similarly, for each $j = 1, \ldots, d$ let n_j be the multiplicity of the eigenvalue λ_j in N_0. By rearranging the orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{D}$, T_0 is unitarily equivalent to

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{m}(\alpha_j \oplus \beta_1 I_{\mathcal{H}_0})^{\oplus t_j}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \beta_k I_{\mathcal{H}_0}\right)^{\oplus \sum_{j=1}^{d} n_j}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_0$ is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Similarly, N_0 is unitarily equivalent to

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{d}\left(\lambda_j \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_k I_{\mathcal{H}_0}\right)\right)^{\oplus n_j}\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\ell} \gamma_k I_{\mathcal{H}_0}\right)^{\oplus \sum_{j=1}^{m} t_j}.$$

Hence, if we can show that there exist unitaries U_j such that the diagonal of

$$U_j^* \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\ell} \gamma_k I_{\mathcal{H}_0}\right) U_j$$

is within ϵ of $\alpha_j \oplus \beta_1 I_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ for any j, and that there exist unitaries W_k such that the diagonal of

$$W_k^* \left(\lambda_j \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\ell} \gamma_k I_{\mathcal{H}_0}\right)\right) W_k$$

is within ϵ of $\oplus_{k=1}^{n} \beta_k I_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ for any k, then the proof will be complete.

The U_j's exist by Lemma 4.3. To prove the existence of the W_k's, note that

$$\lambda_j \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\ell} \gamma_k I_{\mathcal{H}_0}\right)$$

is unitarily equivalent to

$$\left(\lambda_j \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\ell} \gamma_k I_{\mathcal{H}_0}\right)\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\ell} \gamma_k I_{\mathcal{H}_0}\right)^{\oplus (n-1)},$$

and that

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \beta_k I_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \beta_1 I_{\mathcal{H}_0} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=2}^{n} \beta_k I_{\mathcal{H}_0}\right).$$

Since Lemma 4.3 implies there is a unitary operator V such that the diagonal of

$$V^* \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\ell} \gamma_k I_{\mathcal{H}_0}\right)^{n-1} V$$

is within ϵ of $\oplus_{k=2}^{n} \beta_k I_{\mathcal{H}_0}$, it suffices to prove the result for $n = 1$.

Since β_1 is in the convex hull of $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell}$, there exist non-zero rational numbers $\{q_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell} \subseteq [0,1]$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} q_k = 1$ and

$$\left| \beta_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} q_k \gamma_k \right| < \epsilon.$$

Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\ell} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $q_k = \frac{a_k}{N}$, and choose $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \beta_1 - \frac{\lambda_j + M \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_k \gamma_k}{NM+1} \right| < \epsilon.$$

Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$ be the diagonal matrix where exactly a_k of the diagonal entries of D_1 are γ_k for each k. Let $D_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{NM+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be the diagonal matrix where Ma_k of the diagonal entries are γ_k for each k, and the remaining one diagonal entry is λ_j. Then up to unitary equivalence,

$$\lambda_j \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\ell} \gamma_k I_{\mathcal{H}_0} \right) = D_2 \oplus D_1^{\oplus \infty}.$$

Now by Theorem 2.1, D_1 is unitarily equivalent to a matrix with every diagonal entry equal to

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_k \gamma_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} q_k \gamma_k,$$

which is within ϵ of β_1. Similarly, D_2 is unitarily equivalent to a matrix with every diagonal entry equal to

$$\frac{\lambda_j + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} M a_k \gamma_k}{NM+1},$$

which is also within ϵ of β_1. The result now follows by taking a direct sum of unitary matrices obtained as above. ∎

Theorem 4.7. *Theorem 1.2 holds in the case when $\mathcal{A}$ is a discrete MASA.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{K}$ denote the set of compact operators. If we identify $\mathcal{D} = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$, then we can write $c_0 = \mathcal{D} \cap \mathfrak{K}$. Since $E_{\mathcal{D}}(K) \in c_0$ for every $K \in \mathfrak{K}$, it follows that the map $\tilde{E}_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})/\mathfrak{K} \to \mathcal{D}/c_0$ defined by

$$\tilde{E}_{\mathcal{D}}(T + \mathfrak{K}) = E_{\mathcal{D}}(T) + c_0.$$

is well defined and completely positive. Thus one inclusion follows from Lemma 4.1, and the other inclusion follows from Proposition 4.6. ∎

4.1.2. *The case of a continuous MASA.* In this section, using ideas similar to those used in the previous section, we will establish Theorem 1.2 for the case of a continuous MASA. First, we require the following result from [16, Remark 5].

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a continuous MASA of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with corresponding conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{A}$. If $K \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is compact, then $E_{\mathcal{A}}(K) = 0$.*

Theorem 4.9. *Theorem 1.2 holds in the case when $\mathcal{A}$ is a continuous MASA.*

Proof. To see that

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|} \subseteq \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid \sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma_e(N))\},$$

let $\mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and define the completely positive map $\tilde{E}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{B}(H)/\mathfrak{K} \to \mathcal{A}$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.7. The inclusion then follows directly from Lemma 4.1.

For the other inclusion, fix $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(N))$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. A standard approximation argument gives the existence of $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $N_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|A - A_0\| < \epsilon$, $\|N - N_0\| < \epsilon$, $\sigma(A_0) = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^m$, $\sigma_e(N_0) = \{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^n$, $\sigma(N_0) \setminus \sigma_e(N_0) = \{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\ell$, and $\sigma(A_0) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(N_0))$. It suffices to prove the result for A_0 and N_0.

Observe that there are non-zero pairwise orthogonal projections $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^m \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^m P_k = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $A_0 = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k P_k$. Furthermore, since $\sigma(A_0) \subset \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(N_0))$, there are scalars $\gamma_{j,k} \in (0,1)$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_{j,k} = 1$ and

$$\left| \alpha_j - \sum_{k=1}^m \gamma_{j,k}\beta_k \right| < \epsilon$$

for all j.

For each k, let $A_k = \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_{j,k} P_j$. Then

$$\left\| A_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k A_k \right\| < \epsilon,$$

and $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is a partition of unity of $\mathcal{A}$. By Theorem 3.5 there are pairwise orthogonal projections $\{Q_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, each of infinite rank, such that $\sum_{k=1}^n Q_k = I_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\sigma(Q_k) = \sigma_e(Q_k)$ and $\|E_{\mathcal{A}}(Q_k) - A_k\| < \epsilon/C$ for all k, where $C = \sum_{k=1}^n |\beta_k|$.

Let $\{Q'_j\}_{j=1}^\ell$ be pairwise orthogonal, finite-rank projections such that $Q'_j \leq Q_1$ and $\mathrm{rank}(Q'_j)$ is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue λ_j of N for all j. Replace Q_1 with $Q_1 - \sum_{j=1}^\ell Q'_j$. Then $Q_1, \ldots, Q_n, Q'_1, \ldots, Q'_\ell \in$

$\mathcal{B}(H)$ are pairwise orthogonal projections. Moreover, $E_{\mathcal{A}}(Q'_k) = 0$ for each k by Lemma 4.8, and hence $\|E_{\mathcal{A}}(Q_k) - A_k\| < \frac{\epsilon}{C}$ for each k.

Let $N' = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k Q_k + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_j Q'_j$. Then

$$\|E_{\mathcal{A}}(N') - A_0\| \leq \epsilon + \sum_{k=1}^n |\beta_k| \|E_{\mathcal{A}}(Q_k) - A_k\| < 2\epsilon.$$

Since N' is a normal normal operator such that $\sigma(N') = \sigma(N_0)$ with equal multiplicity of finite eigenvalues, N' is approximately unitarily equivalent to N_0. Hence the result follows. ∎

4.2. **Type II_1 factors.** In this section, we proceed with a Schur-Horn type theorem for normal operators in II_1 factors. The discussion varies slightly from the I_∞ case due to the existence of a faithful tracial state. Denote by $\chi_X(N)$ the spectral projection of a normal operator N with respect to a Borel set X.

The next result completely characterizes the approximate diagonal of a normal operator with finite spectrum in a II_1 factor.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type II_1 factor, let τ be the faithful normal trace on $\mathfrak{M}$, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a MASA of $\mathfrak{M}$ with corresponding normal conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$. Let $N \in \mathfrak{M}$ be a normal operator such that $\sigma(N) = \{z_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$A \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|}$$

if and only if there exists a partition of unity $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\tau(A_k) = \tau(\chi_{\{z_k\}}(N))$ for each k, and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n z_k A_k = A.$$

Proof. Let $\omega_k = \tau(\chi_{\{z_k\}}(N))$ for each k. Suppose first that $A \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)$, so that $A = E_{\mathcal{A}}(U^*NU)$ for some unitary $U \in \mathfrak{M}$. For each k let $P_k = \chi_{\{z_k\}}(N)$ and let $A_k = E_{\mathcal{A}}(U^*P_kU) \in \mathcal{A}$. Clearly $0 \leq A_k \leq I_{\mathfrak{M}}$,

$$\tau(A_k) = \tau(E_{\mathcal{A}}(U^*P_kU)) = \tau(P_k) = \omega_k \text{ for all } k,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_k = E_{\mathcal{A}}\left(U^*\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{\{z_k\}}(N)\right)U\right) = E_{\mathcal{A}}(I_{\mathfrak{M}}) = I_{\mathfrak{M}},$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n z_k A_k = E_{\mathcal{A}}\left(U^*\left(\sum_{k=1}^n z_k \chi_{\{z_k\}}(N)\right)U\right) = E_{\mathcal{A}}(N) = A.$$

Now suppose $A \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|}$. Then there exists a sequence $(A^{(m)})_{m\geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)$ such that $\lim_{m\to\infty} \left\|A - A^{(m)}\right\| = 0$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ choose a partition of unity $\left\{A_k^{(m)}\right\}_{k=1}^n$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\tau\left(A_k^{(m)}\right) = \omega_k$ for all k, and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n z_k A_k^{(m)} = A^{(m)}.$$

Since the unit ball of $\mathcal{A}$ is weak*-compact, the result follows by taking a weak*-limit over a common subnet since τ and $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ are weak*-continuous.

For the converse direction, fix $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and suppose there exists a partition of unity $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\tau(A_k) = \omega_k$ for all k and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n z_k A_k = A.$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. By Theorem 1.4 there exists a unitary operator $U \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that

$$\left\|A_k - E_{\mathcal{A}}(U^* \chi_{\{z_k\}}(N)U)\right\| < \epsilon$$

for all k. Therefore

$$\|A - E_{\mathcal{A}}(U^*NU)\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left\|z_k(A_k - E_{\mathcal{A}}(U^*\chi_{\{z_k\}}(N)U))\right\| \leq \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|.$$

Therefore, since the $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^n$ are fixed, the result now follows. ■

Although Theorem 4.10 only applies to normal operators with finite spectrum, it effectively solves the approximate Schur-Horn problem for normal operators via finite approximations. For example, Theorem 4.10 implies the following result about arbitrary normal operators.

Theorem 4.11. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type II_1 factor, let τ be the faithful normal tracial state on $\mathfrak{M}$, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a MASA. If $N \in \mathfrak{M}$ is a normal operator, then*

$$\tau(N)I_{\mathfrak{M}} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|}.$$

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists pairwise orthogonal projections $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ and scalars $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n P_k = I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and

$$\left\|N - \sum_{k=1}^n z_k P_k\right\| < \epsilon.$$

Moreover, since τ is norm-continuous, we may assume, by applying a small perturbation, that

$$\tau\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} z_k P_k\right) = \tau(N).$$

Let $N_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_k P_k$ so that $N_0 \in \mathfrak{M}$ is a normal operator with $\sigma(N_0) = \{z_k\}_{k=1}^{n}$ and $\tau(\chi_{\{z_k\}}(N_0)) = \tau(P_k)$ for all k. If $A_k = \tau(P_k)I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ for all k, then clearly $0 \leq A_k \leq I_{\mathfrak{M}}$, $\tau(A_k) = \tau(P_k)$, and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \tau(P_k) I_{\mathfrak{M}} = I_{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

Thus

$$\tau(N_0)I_{\mathfrak{M}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_k A_k \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N_0)}^{\|\cdot\|}$$

by Theorem 4.10. Since $\|N - N_0\| < \epsilon$, the result follows. ∎

We are now able to prove Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 4.12. *Theorem 1.3 holds.*

Proof. One inclusion follows directly from Corollary 4.2 and the fact that $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a trace-preserving, completely positive map.

For the converse, note that the conclusions of the theorem are unchanged if we replace N by a scalar translation or a non-zero scalar multiple. Thus we may assume $\sigma(N) = \{0, 1, z\}$ where $Im(z) > 0$. The spectral distribution of N may then be written as $\beta_0\delta_0 + \beta_1\delta_1 + \beta_z\delta_z$, where δ_x represents the point-mass measure at x and $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_z \in (0, 1)$ are such that $\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_z = 1$.

Fix $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\tau(A) = \tau(N)$ and $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathrm{conv}(\sigma(N))$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type II_1 factor, there exists pairwise orthogonal projections $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^{n} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and scalars $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^{n} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_j = I_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and

$$\left\| A - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j P_j \right\| < \epsilon.$$

Furthermore, by refining the approximation, we may assume in addition that $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^{n} \subseteq \mathrm{conv}(\sigma(N))$ and

$$\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j P_j\right) = \tau(N).$$

Since $\alpha_j \in \operatorname{conv}(\sigma(N))$ for each j, there exists scalars $\gamma_{j,0}, \gamma_{j,1}, \gamma_{j,z} \in [0,1]$ such that $\gamma_{j,0} + \gamma_{j,1} + \gamma_{j,z} = 1$ and $\alpha_j = \gamma_{j,0}0 + \gamma_{j,1}1 + \gamma_{j,z}z$. Thus

$$\beta_0 0 + \beta_1 1 + \beta_z z = \tau(N) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\gamma_{j,0}0 + \gamma_{j,1}1 + \gamma_{j,z}z)\tau(P_j).$$

Since $Im(z) > 0$, the above equation implies that

$$\beta_z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{j,z}\tau(P_j).$$

By analyzing the real part, we also obtain that

$$\beta_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{j,1}\tau(P_j).$$

Furthermore, since $\gamma_{j,0}+\gamma_{j,1}+\gamma_{j,z} = 1$ for each j and since $\beta_0+\beta_1+\beta_z = 1$, we obtain that

$$\beta_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{j,0}\tau(P_j).$$

Based on the above equations and the fact that $\mathcal{A}$ is a MASA in $\mathfrak{M}$, for each j there exists pairwise orthogonal projections $\{P_{j,0}, P_{j,1}, P_{j,z}\} \subseteq P_j\mathcal{A}P_j$ such that $P_j = P_{j,0} + P_{j,1} + P_{j,z}$,

$$\tau(P_{j,0}) = \gamma_{j,0}\tau(P_j), \quad \tau(P_{j,1}) = \gamma_{j,1}\tau(P_j), \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(P_{j,z}) = \gamma_{j,z}\tau(P_j).$$

Therefore, if

$$N' = \sum_{j=1}^{n} 0P_{j,0} + 1P_{j,1} + zP_{j,z},$$

then $N' \in \mathcal{A}$ is a normal operator with the same spectral distribution as N. Thus, as $\sigma(N)$ is finite, there exists a unitary operator $U \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that $N' = U^*NU$.

Notice that

$$P_jN'P_j = 0P_{j,0} + 1P_{j,1} + zP_{j,z}$$

and

$$\tau(0P_{j,0} + 1P_{j,1} + zP_{j,z}) = \gamma_{j,0}\tau(P_j)0 + \gamma_{j,1}\tau(P_j) + \gamma_{j,z}\tau(P_j) = \alpha_j\tau(P_j).$$

Hence, by applying Theorem 4.11 to the type II$_1$ factor $P_j\mathfrak{M}P_j$, the MASA $P_j\mathcal{A}P_j$, and the normal operator $P_jN'P_j \in P_j\mathfrak{M}P_j$, there exists a unitary $W_j \in P_j\mathfrak{M}P_j$ such that

$$\left\|E_{P_j\mathcal{A}P_j}(W_j^*P_jN'P_jW_j) - \alpha_jP_j\right\| < \epsilon.$$

Therefore $W = \sum_{j=1}^n W_j \in \mathfrak{M}$ is a unitary operator such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| E_{\mathcal{A}}(W^*N'W) - \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j P_j \right\| \\ &= \left\| \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^n E_{P_j\mathcal{A}P_j}(W_j^* P_j N' P_j W_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j P_j \right\| \\ &= \max_{1\leq j\leq n}\{\left\| E_{P_j\mathcal{A}P_j}(W_j^* P_j N' P_j W_j) - \alpha_j P_j \right\|\} < \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\|E_{\mathcal{A}}(W^*U^*NUW) - A\| \\ &\leq \left\| E_{\mathcal{A}}(W^*N'W) - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j P_j \right\| + \left\| A - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j P_j \right\| < 2\epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Since $UW \in \mathfrak{M}$ is a unitary operator, the result follows. ∎

We immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.13. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type II_1 factor, let τ be the faithful tracial state on $\mathfrak{M}$, let $\mathcal{A}$ be a MASA in $\mathfrak{M}$ with corresponding normal conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$, and let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a normal operator $N \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that $\sigma(N)$ contains precisely three points and*

$$A \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|}.$$

Example 4.14. Theorem 1.3 may not be improved to normal operators with four points in their spectrum. Indeed consider the normal operator N whose spectral distribution is $\frac{1}{4}(\delta_0 + \delta_1 + \delta_i + \delta_{1+i})$ and the normal operator A in the MASA $\mathcal{A}$ whose spectral distribution is $\frac{1}{2}(\delta_0 + \delta_{1+i})$. It is clear that $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathrm{conv}(\sigma(N))$ and $\tau(A) = \tau(N)$.

If $A \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|}$, then there are subprojections P_0, P_1, P_i, P_{i+1} of $\chi_{\{0\}}(N)$, $\chi_{\{1\}}(N)$, $\chi_{\{i\}}(N)$, and $\chi_{\{1+i\}}(N)$ respectively such that

$$\tau(P_0) + \tau(P_1) + \tau(P_i) + \tau(P_{i+1}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$0\tau(P_0) + 1\tau(P_1) + i\tau(P_i) + (1+i)\tau(P_{i+1}) = 0.$$

However, this is clearly impossible, since 0 is an extreme point of the square with vertices $\{0, 1, 1+i, i\}$, and the trace of the spectral projection of N corresponding to 0 is $\frac{1}{4}$.

Note that the pair A, N do not satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 4.10.

4.3. **Type II$_\infty$ factors.** The following analogue of Theorem 4.10 for II$_\infty$ factors can be proved in the same way using the version of Theorem 1.4 for II$_\infty$ factors.

Theorem 4.15. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type II_∞ factor with separable predual, let τ be the semifinite normal trace on $\mathfrak{M}$, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a MASA of $\mathfrak{M}$ with corresponding normal conditional expectation $E_\mathcal{A} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$. Let $N \in \mathfrak{M}$ be a normal operator such that $\sigma(N) = \{z_k\}_{k=1}^n$. Then*

$$A \in \overline{D_\mathcal{A}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|}$$

if and only if there exists a partition of unity $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\tau(A_k) = \tau(\chi_{\{z_k\}}(N))$ for all k and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n z_k A_k = A.$$

4.4. **Type III factors.** Unlike factors of type I$_\infty$ and II, the set of approximate diagonals of a normal operator in a factor of type III is easily described.

Theorem 4.16. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a type III factor, let $\mathcal{A}$ be a MASA of $\mathfrak{M}$ with corresponding conditional expectation $E_\mathcal{A} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$, and let $N \in \mathfrak{M}$ be a normal operator. Then*

$$A \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{A}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|} \text{ if and only if } \sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{conv}}(\sigma(N)).$$

Proof. If $A \in \overline{\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{A}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|}$ then $\sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{conv}}(\sigma(N))$ by Corollary 4.2.

For the converse direction, fix $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $N \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that $\sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{conv}}(\sigma(N))$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a MASA in the type III factor $\mathfrak{M}$, there exists a normal operator $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\sigma(A_0)$ is a finite set, $\sigma(A_0) \subseteq \sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{conv}}(\sigma(N))$, and $\|A_0 - A\| < \epsilon$. Furthermore, since $\sigma(A_0)$ is a finite set and $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type III factor, there exists a normal operator $N_0 \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that $\sigma(N_0)$ is finite, $\|N_0 - N\| < \epsilon$, and $\sigma(A_0) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{conv}}(\sigma(N_0))$.

Let $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^\ell = \sigma(A_0)$ and let $\{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^n = \sigma(N_0)$. For each $j = 1 \ldots, \ell$ let $P_j = \chi_{\{\alpha_j\}}(A_0)$. Then $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^\ell$ is a collection of pairwise orthogonal, non-zero projections that sum to $I_\mathfrak{M}$. Since $\mathfrak{M}$ is a type III factor, for each j there exists a collection of pairwise orthogonal, non-zero projections $\{P_{j,k} \mid k = 1, \ldots, n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n P_{j,k} = P_j$. Define

$$N' = \sum_{j=1}^\ell \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_j P_{j,k}.$$

The operator N' is clearly normal and $\sigma(N') = \sigma(N_0)$. Thus there exists a unitary $U \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that $U^* N_0 U = N'$.

Fix $j \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. By construction, N' commutes with P_j and $\{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^n = \sigma(N_0)$ is the spectrum of $P_j N' P_j$ inside of $P_j \mathfrak{M} P_j$. Since $\alpha \in \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(N_0)) = \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\{\beta_k\}_{k=1}^n)$, there exists $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq [0,1]$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k = 1$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k \beta_k = \alpha_j.$$

Hence by Theorem 3.18 implies there exists a unitary $W_j \in P_j \mathfrak{M} P_j$ such that

$$\left\| \gamma_k P_j - E_{P_j \mathcal{A} P_j}(W_j^* P_{j,k} W_j) \right\| < \frac{\epsilon}{n(M+1)},$$

where $M = \max_k |\beta_k|$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \alpha_j P_j - E_{P_j \mathcal{A} P_j}(W_j^* P_j N' P_j W_j) \right\| \\
&\qquad\qquad \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \gamma_k \beta_k P_j - \beta_k E_{P_j \mathcal{A} P_j}(W_j^* P_{j,k} W_j) \right\| \\
&\qquad\qquad \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\beta_k| \frac{\epsilon}{n(M+1)} \\
&\qquad\qquad < \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

By applying the above construction for each j, we obtain that $W = \sum_{j=1}^\ell W_j \in \mathfrak{M}$ is a unitary operator satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
\| E_{\mathcal{A}}(W^* N' W) - A_0 \| &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^\ell E_{P_j \mathcal{A} P_j}(W_j^* P_j N' P_j W_j) - \alpha_j P_j \right\| \\
&= \max_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} \left\| E_{P_j \mathcal{A} P_j}(W_j^* P_j N' P_j W_j) - \alpha_j P_j \right\| \leq \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\| E_{\mathcal{A}}(W^* U^* N_0 U W) - A_0 \| \leq \epsilon$, so

$$\| E_{\mathcal{A}}(W^* U^* N U W) - A \| \leq 3\epsilon.$$

Since $UW \in \mathfrak{M}$ is a unitary operator, the result follows. ■

4.5. **Cuntz C*-algebras.** It follows from Remark 3.20 that, in general, one cannot hope to have any kind of tracial condition in a multivariate Carpenter's theorem for UHF C*-algebras. This precludes us from applying the techniques used in previous sections to arbitrary UHF C*-algebras in order to obtain a Schur-Horn type theorem for normal operators.

Therefore, we restrict our attention to a setting where the trace should not matter, namely the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$. It is well-known that there exists a copy of the 2^∞-UHF C*-algebra $\mathcal{F}_2$ in $\mathcal{O}_2$, and a conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{F}_2} : \mathcal{O}_2 \to \mathcal{F}_2$. In particular, if $E : \mathcal{F}_2 \to \mathfrak{D}$ is the expectation onto the diagonal, then the map $E_{\mathfrak{D}} : \mathcal{O}_2 \to \mathfrak{D}$ defined by $E_{\mathfrak{D}} = E \circ E_{\mathcal{F}_2}$ is a conditional expectation onto the diagonal. For this expectation, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.17. *Let $E_{\mathfrak{D}} : \mathcal{O}_2 \to \mathfrak{D}$ be the conditional expectation described above and let $N \in \mathcal{O}_2$ be a normal operator such that $\sigma(N) = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^n$. Then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N)}^{\|\cdot\|} = \overline{\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k A_k \;\middle|\; \{A_k\}_{k=1}^n \text{ a partition of unity in } \mathfrak{D} \right\}}^{\|\cdot\|}.$$

Proof. If $A = E_{\mathfrak{D}}(U^*NU)$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_2)$, then it is clear that

$$A \in \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k A_k \;\middle|\; \{A_k\}_{k=1}^n \text{ a partition of unity in } \mathfrak{D} \right\},$$

since the image of the spectral projections of U^*NU under $E_{\mathfrak{D}}$ form a partition of unity of $\mathfrak{D}$. This gives one direction.

For the other direction, suppose that $A = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k A_k$ for some partition of unity $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$. Theorem 3.19 implies there exists non-zero orthogonal projections $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2$ such that

$$\|E_{\mathfrak{D}}(P_k) - A_k\| < \epsilon.$$

In particular,

$$\left\| A - E_{\mathfrak{D}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k P_k \right) \right\| < M\epsilon,$$

where $M = \sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha_k|$. The operator $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k P_k$ is normal with the same spectrum as N. Hence it is unitarily equivalent to N by K-theory. The result now follows. ∎

Corollary 4.18. *Let $E_{\mathfrak{D}} : \mathcal{O}_2 \to \mathfrak{D}$ be the conditional expectation described above and let $S \in \mathcal{O}_2$ be a self-adjoint operator. Then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{D}}(S)}^{\|\cdot\|} = \{A \in \mathfrak{D} \mid \sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(S))\}.$$

Proof. The inclusion

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{D}}(S)}^{\|\cdot\|} \subseteq \{A \in \mathfrak{D} \mid \sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(S))\}$$

follows from Corollary 4.2.

For the other inclusion, we may assume without loss of generality that $\sigma(S)$ is finite, since $\mathcal{O}_2$ has real rank zero. Write $\sigma(S) = \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^n$ with $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_n$, and fix $A \in \mathfrak{D}$ such that $\sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{conv}}(\sigma(S))$. Since $\mathcal{O}_2$ has real rank zero, we may further assume that $\sigma(A)$ is finite, and that $\alpha_1, \alpha_n \notin \sigma(A)$. Write $\sigma(A) = \{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^m$ and $A = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j Q_j$, where $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^m$ are spectral projections.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\alpha_1 < \beta_j < \alpha_n$ for each j, there exists non-zero scalars $\{\gamma_{k,j}\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq (0,1)$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_{k,j} = 1$ and $|\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \gamma_{k,j} - \beta_j| < \epsilon$.

Let $A_k = \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_{k,j} Q_j \in \mathfrak{D}$. Then since $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is a partition of unity in $\mathfrak{D}$, by Theorem 4.17 there exists non-zero pairwise orthogonal projections $\{P_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$ such that $\|E_{\mathfrak{D}}(P_k) - A_k\| < \epsilon$.

Setting $S_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k P_k$ and $M = \sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha_k|$, we estimate

$$\left\| E_{\mathfrak{D}}(S_0) - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k A_k \right\| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k E_{\mathfrak{D}}(P_k) - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k A_k \right\| \leq \epsilon M$$

and

$$\left\| A - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k A_k \right\| = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j Q_j - \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \gamma_{k,j} Q_j \right\| < \epsilon$$

Since S_0 and S are unitarily equivalent in $\mathcal{O}_2$, the result now follows. ∎

References

[1] M. Argerami and P. Massey, *A Schur-Horn Theorem in II_1 Factors*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** (2007), no. 5, 2051-2060.

[2] ———, *Towards the Carpenters Theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 11, 3679-3687.

[3] W. Arveson, *Diagonals of normal operators with finite spectrum*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **104** (2007), no. 4, 1152-1158.

[4] W. Arveson and V. Kadison, *Diagonals of self-adjoint operators*, Operator Theory, Operator Algebras, and Applications, vol. 414, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[5] Y.H. Au-Yeung and Y.T. Poon, 3×3 *orthostochastic matrices and the convexity of generalized numerical ranges*, Linear Algebra Appl. **27** (1979), 69-79.

[6] B. V. Bhat and M. Ravichandran, *The Schur-Horn theorem for operators with finite spectrum*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), no. 10, 3441-3454.

[7] M. Bownik and J. Jasper, *The Schur-Horn theorem for operators with finite spectrum* (2013), 10 pp., available at `arXiv:1302.4757`.

[8] ———, *Spectra of frame operators with prescribed frame norms*, Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Harmonic Analysis and Partial Differential Equations, Contemp. Math. **612** (2014), 65-79.

[9] K. Dykema, J. Fang, D. Hadwin, and R. Smith, *The Carpenter and Schur-Horn Problems for MASAs in Finite Factors*, Illinois J. Math. **56** (2012), no. 4, 1313-1329.

[10] A. Horn, *Doubly stochastic matrices and the diagonal of a rotation matrix*, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), no. 3, 620-630.

[11] J. Jasper, *The Schur-Horn theorem for operators with three point spectrum*, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), no. 8, 1494-1521.

[12] R. Kadison, *The Pythagorean theorem. I. The finite case*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **99** (2002), no. 7, 4178-4184.

[13] ———, *The Pythagorean theorem. II. The infinite discrete case*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **99** (2002), no. 8, 5217-5222.

[14] V. Kaftal and G. Weiss, *A survey on the interplay between arithmetic mean ideals, traces, lattices of operator ideals, and an infinite Schur-Horn majorization theorem*, Hot topics in operator theory, Theta Ser. Adv. Math. **9** (2008), 101-135.

[15] ———, *An infinite dimensional Schur-Horn theorem and majorization theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), no. 12, 3115-3162.

[16] R. Kadison and I. Singer, *Extensions of pure states*, Amer. J. Math (1959), 383-400.

[17] M. Kennedy and P. Skoufranis, *Thompson's theorem for II_1 factors* (2014), 20 pp., available at arXiv:1407.1564.

[18] P. Massey and M. Ravichandran, *Multivariable Schur-Horn theorems* (2014), 31 pp., available at arXiv:1411.4457.

[19] L. Mirsky, *Inequalities and existence theorems in the theory of matrices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **9** (1964), no. 1, 99-118.

[20] A. Neumann, *An Infinite-Dimensional Version of the Schur-Horn Convexity Theorem*, J. Funct. Anal. **161** (1999), no. 2, 418-451.

[21] S. Popa, *On a problem of RV Kadison on maximal abelian *-subalgebras in factors*, Invent. Math. **65** (1981), no. 2, 269-281.

[22] ———, *Orthogonal Pairs of *-Subalgebras in Finite von Neumann Algebras*, J. Oper. Theory **9** (1983), 253-268.

[23] M. Ravichandran, *The Schur-Horn theorem in von Neumann algebras* (2012), 26 pp., available at arXiv:1209.0909v2.

[24] I. Schur, *Über eine Klasse von Mittelbildungen mit Anwendungen auf die Determinantentheorie*, Sitzungsber. Berl. Math. Ges. **22** (1923), 9-20.

[25] R. C. Thompson, *Singular values, diagonal elements, and convexity*, SIAM J APPL MATH **32** (1977), no. 1, 39-63.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CARLETON UNIVERSITY, OTTAWA, ON K1S 5B6, CANADA

E-mail address: `mkennedy@math.carleton.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS, 77843, USA

E-mail address: `pskoufra@math.tamu.edu`